JEWISH CULTURAL RECONSTRUCTION

DISTRIBUTION OF BOOKS IN THE U.S. FROM JULY 1, 1949 TO JAN. 31, 1952

Name of Institution	No. of Items Received ⁸	No. Re- turned	Net Received	Rare Books Includ
A. Priority Libraries				•
American Jewish Historical Society, N.Y. Baltimore Hebrew College, Baltimore Brandeis University, Waltham, Mass. College of Jewish Studies, Chicago Dropsie College, Philadelphia Hebrew Teachers College, Boston Hebrew Theological College, Chicago Hebrew Union College, Cincinnati Jewish Community Library, Los Angeles Jewish Institute of Religion, N.Y. Jewish Theological Seminary, N.Y. Mesifta Rabbi Chaim Berlin, Brooklyn Mesifta Torah Vodeath, Brooklyn Ner Israel, Baltimore	358 4,554 11,288c 7,521 6,700 7,275 ^e 5,946 9,820 ^f 1,061 10,661 13,320 1,282 3,713 ^g 4,689	2 1,151 99 67 1,281 45	358 4,552 11,288 7,521 5,549 7,275 5,847 9,753 1,061 9,380 13,275 1,282 3,713 4,689 156	132 28 117 255 98 99 268 305 326
Rabbinical College of Telshe, Cleveland Yeshiva University, N.Y. Yiddish Scientific Institute, N.Y.	156 10,564 ^h 12,360 ^j	1,157 679	9,407 11,681	94 518
B. Smaller Libraries				
B'nai Brith Hillel Foundation Beth Medrash Elyon, Spring Valley, N.Y. Beth Medrash Govoha, Lakewood, N.J. Herzliah, N.Y. Jewish Education Committee, N.Y. Jewish Teachers Seminary, N.Y. Jewish Welfare Board, N.Y. Mirrer Yeshiva, N.Y. Rabbi Schneersohn Library, Brooklyn Yeshiva of Flatbush, Brooklyn Zionist Archives, N.Y.	1,073 _k 350 ^k 536 1,014 37 1,062 236 410 3,294 465 2,587	448 35	625 350 536 1,014 37 1,027 236 410 3,294 465 2,587	10 18 9 36 10 19
C. One Time Allocations				
Hebrew Convalescent Home, N.Y. Hebrew Institute of Long Island Jewish Sanitarium & Hospital for Chronic Diseases, Brooklyn Jewish Settlement House, N.Y. New York Board of Rabbis Wall Street Synagogue, N.Y. Yeshivoth (through Torah Umesorah) Scholarly Organizations	182 631 100 47 22 52 12,013 5,318		182 63 100 47 22 52 12,013 5,318	

DISTRIBUTION OF BOOKS IN THE U.S. (CONTINUED)

Name of Institution	No. of Items Received B	No. Re- turned	Net Received	Rare Books Inclu
	••		,	•
D. Non-Jewish Libraries				
Columbia University	2,183		2,183	211
College of the City of New York	214		214	
Harvard University	2,166	246	1,920	51
Icwa University	185		185	5
Johns Hopkins University	45		45	
Joint University Religious Section,	, •	٠		
Nashville, Tenn.	423		423	
Library of Congress	5,708		5,708	163
New York Public Library	2,586	962	1,624	167
New York University	2,302	4	2,298	36
University of Pennsylvania	26		26	
University of Texas	635		635	_
Yale University	1,509		1,509	<u>69</u>
TOTAL	158,111	6,176	151,935	2,806

a Includes periodicals and newspapers, individual issues, sheets, etc.

- e Includes collection of Verein die israelitischer Religionslehrer, Frankfurt/M.
- f Also received some archival material from Nazi archives and the microfilms of the Worms Community and Municipal Archives.
- g Received an additional allocation of 535 books through Torah Umesorah
- h Includes Stuermer Collection.
- J Received many Yiddish newspapers which were unbound. The figure is therefore misleading as to actual titles. Also received some archival material from the European Hias offices.
- k Plus 431 volumes through Torah Umesorah.
- 1 Plus 129 volumes through Torah Umesorah

b Includes one photostat copy of Munich Talmud. Also received half a case with rabbinic fragments.

c Includes more than 5,000 non-Jewish books.

d Includes 3 incumables.

Country	Museum Pleces	Bynagog Pieces	Silver Scrap Metal	Other Scrap Metal	menta Unfit for Use	Ceremoniai Objects	Scrolls	Frag- ments	Burled Scrolls	Tota! Scroll:
Israel	2,285	976			•	3,261	804	(87)	(127)	1,018
United States	1,326	1,824			(apprx. 100)	3,250	110*			110
Great Britain	245	66	(3,713 for melting)	(495 for melting)	·	311 (4,208 for melting)	12 (see below)		·	. 12
France	125	219		-		344	(see below)			
Cermany	31 .	89				120			•	
Western Europe (excl. France & Germany)	· · · · · ·	129		÷		129				
Western Europe (incl. France & Great Britain)			:	,		,	98		•	98
South Africa	150	66	•	•	•	516		_	•	•
Canada		151		v	<i>'</i> .	151		•		
Argentina	:	150				150				
Peru		35	•	·	,	35 .	•	•		
TOTAL	4,162	336 3,369	3,713	495	apprx. 100	12,175 (1,024	87	127	1,238
* An unknown number will require burial.									• •	

Torahs +?



PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY COMMISSION ON HOLOCAUST ASSESTS IN THE UNITED STATES

ADVISORY COMMISSION
ON HOLOCAUST ASSETS
IN THE UNITED STATES
Chairman

Kenneth L. Klothen Executive Director

For Immediate Release September 13, 1999 Contact: Stu Loeser

Voice: (202) 371-6400, ext'n 456

Pager: 1-877-386-0069

Statement of **Edgar M. Bronfman**, Chair of the Presidential Advisory Commission on Holocaust Assets in the United States, regarding cultural property of Holocaust victims – namely, books of a Jewish religious nature – that may be in the possession of the Library Congress:

"The Presidential Advisory Commission on Holocaust Assets in the United States has shared with the Librarian of Congress our original research and other research that we have collected on Holocaust victims' books that may be in the Library's collections. We are satisfied with the Library's initial response and look forward to working with the Library to learn about these books and determine what steps must be taken to ensure that justice will be done."

Background: The 21-member Presidential Advisory Commission on Holocaust Assets in the United States was created by the U.S. Holocaust Assets Commission Act of 1998 (PL 105-186) and given the mandate to:

- Conduct original research into what happened to the assets of Holocaust victims – including gold, other financial instruments and art and cultural objects such as books – that passed into the possession or control of the Federal government;
- Survey the research done by others about what happened to the assets of Holocaust victims; and
- Report to the President, making recommendations for actions to achieve justice.

To: Presidential Advisory Commission on Holocaust Assets in the United States

From: Kenneth Klothen, Executive Director

Re: Discussions with Library of Congress Regarding Looted Books in its Collection

Date: October 12, 1999

Commission staff have followed up on research originally conducted by Dr. Robert Waite of the Office of Special Investigations (OSI) at the Department of Justice, which indicated that between 1946 and 1949 books, including those looted from Jews, were taken into the Library of Congress collections from the Offenbach Depot, the repository in occupied Germany for books seized from Nazi sources. Our independent investigations led us to conclude that the original OSI work was accurate.

Having reached that conclusion, we requested a meeting with Dr. James Billington, the Librarian of Congress. At that meeting, held late in August and attended by Dr. Billington, the Associate Librarian for Library Services, the Head of the Hebraic Section, and the Library's General Counsel, the Library's staff expressed skepticism about our conclusions, and opined that their view of OSI's work was that it did <u>not</u> conclude that the Library's collection included looted books. Dr. Billington asked us to supply him with additional details regarding the basis and nature of our conclusions.

On September 14, we sent Dr. Billington a letter, in which we summarized our staff's pertinent research findings and suggested that a joint committee of senior staff of the Library and members of this Commission be named to address the issue, determine the relevant facts and arrive at an appropriate resolution.

Between September 14 and now, we continued to investigate this matter and held several discussions with staff at OSI regarding the evidence. As a result of these further efforts, we have come to believe that it is more difficult to prove that a significant number of the books from the so-called Rosenberg Collection that came into the possession of the Library of Congress were originally looted. We have also concluded that the evidence is overwhelming that a significant percentage of the books that came into the Library's collection in 1949 from the Jewish Cultural Reconstruction Organization

were originally looted from Holocaust victims. These refinements in our thinking were shared with the Library's staff.

On October 8, we received Dr. Billington's reply. In a letter delivered to our offices, Dr. Billington disputed our view of the OSI conclusions, citing language from the OSI report that confirmed the Library's primary interest in obtaining Nazi literature from Offenbach, and emphasized the finding that the books from the JCRO were non-restitutable. Dr. Billington declined our suggestion to form a joint committee to review the facts and determine appropriate actions.

In the Commission staff's view, Dr. Billington's letter fails to understand that the policies in place at the time these books were acquired assured only that <u>restitutable</u> books did not pass into the Library's collection. Non-restitutable books that had originally been looted could and did come into the Library's possession precisely through the good faith application of these appropriate policies. In suggesting that the issue is how many such books came into the Library, what they are and what acknowledgement should be made of this fact, the Commission staff is not implying that the Library has done anything wrong.

Ultimately, the Library is in the best position to determine what the facts are – by reviewing its own records. For example, we do not know what documentation the Library has of its accessions during the years 1946-1949. We do not know how easy or difficult it would be to review the Library's sub-collections, such as the Hebraic collection, to determine whether books contain evidence of having come from Offenbach and how many there are. We do not have a clear idea whether and how a cross-match of the Library's collection with existing lists or data bases of known looted books might be accomplished.

In a recent telephone conversation, the Library's General Counsel has indicated a willingness to "continue to discuss" this matter. We doubt whether much more in the way of staff-to-staff discussions will be fruitful, and remain convinced that it is appropriate for the Library to agree publicly to pursue, together with the Commission, the relevant facts pertaining to the provenance of all the Offenbach books in its collection. It is also appropriate, in our view, for the Library to consider – also in conjunction with the Commission – what if any recognition of these facts is appropriate.

Unfortunately, the Library seems unwilling to undertake the kind of transparent, high level effort the Commission's staff recommends.